

Investigations of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in vertebrae of white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) from the eastern North Pacific Ocean

Lisa A. Kerr · Allen H. Andrews ·
Gregor M. Cailliet · Thomas A. Brown ·
Kenneth H. Coale

Received: 8 June 2006 / Accepted: 30 June 2006 / Published online: 27 September 2006
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2006

Abstract The white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, has a complex life history that is characterized by large scale movements and a highly variable diet. Estimates of age and growth for the white shark from the eastern North Pacific Ocean indicate they have a slow growth rate and a relatively high longevity. Age, growth, and longevity estimates useful for stock assessment and fishery models, however, require some form of validation. By counting vertebral growth band pairs, ages can be estimated, but because not all sharks deposit annual growth bands and many are not easily discernable, it is necessary to validate growth band periodicity with an independent method. Radiocarbon (^{14}C) age validation uses the discrete ^{14}C signal produced from thermonuclear testing in the 1950s and 1960s that is re-

tained in skeletal structures as a time-specific marker. Growth band pairs in vertebrae, estimated as annual and spanning the 1930s to 1990s, were analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ and stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$). The aim of this study was to evaluate the utility of ^{14}C age validation for a wide-ranging species with a complex life history and to use stable isotope measurements in vertebrae as a means of resolving complexity introduced into the ^{14}C chronology by ontogenetic shifts in diet and habitat. Stable isotopes provided useful trophic position information; however, validation of age estimates was confounded by what may have been some combination of the dietary source of carbon to the vertebrae, large-scale movement patterns, and steep ^{14}C gradients with depth in the eastern North Pacific Ocean.

L. A. Kerr (✉)
Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, University of
Maryland Center of Environmental Science, P.O. Box
38, Solomons, MD 20688, USA
e-mail: kerr@cbl.umces.edu

A. H. Andrews · G. M. Cailliet · K. H. Coale
Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, California State
University, 8272 Moss Landing Road, Moss Landing,
CA 95039, USA

T. A. Brown
Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence
Livermore National Laboratory, 700 East Avenue,
Livermore, CA 94551, USA

Keywords Radiocarbon · Stable isotope ratios ·
Age validation · Shark vertebrae

Introduction

The life history of the white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, varies seasonally, geographically, and ontogenetically, making it difficult to fully characterize the lifestyle of this animal. The white shark is globally distributed, ranging in habitat from temperate coastal and shelf to pelagic

waters (Compagno 2001; Boustany et al. 2002). Recent satellite tagging data revealed that juvenile white sharks occur in nearshore waters, whereas adults are wide-ranging, with extensive periods of oceanic travel and what appears to be distinct oceanic and coastal phases (Boustany et al. 2002; Dewar et al. 2004; Bonfil et al. 2005). Both juvenile and adult white sharks exhibit deep-diving behavior below the ocean's mixed layer, with juveniles documented to dive to depths of 100 m (Dewar et al. 2004) and adults to 980 m (Bonfil et al. 2005). The diet of the white shark is variable and it is often described as a scavenger, feeding upon a wide range of prey taxa that includes marine mammals, teleost fishes, and invertebrates (Compagno 2001). In addition, an ontogenetic shift in diet has been documented for the white shark, with diet mainly composed of fishes (for sharks less than 2 m) to a diet of marine mammals (for sharks >3 m; Tricas and McCosker 1984; Klimley 1985; Compagno 2001). Although our understanding of the life history of the white shark is advancing, there still exists relatively limited knowledge of the habitat and diet of this animal over its lifetime. In addition, basic demographic information, including reliable age, growth, and longevity estimates, useful for stock assessment and fishery models, are not well defined.

Age determination of sharks is most commonly performed by counting growth band pairs in the vertebral centra that comprise the vertebral column (Ridewood 1921; Cailliet 1990). Vertebral centra are calcified cartilage, composed primarily of the mineral hydroxyapatite $[\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2]$ deposited within an organic matrix, of which collagen is the primary component (Urist 1961). In most elasmobranchs, this mineralization process occurs incrementally, resulting in vertebral growth bands (Cailliet 1990). One translucent and one opaque band comprise a band pair that is often assumed to represent one year of growth (Cailliet et al. 1983; Cailliet and Goldman 2004). The only age and growth study for the Pacific coast white shark population revealed they may have a slow growth rate and be long-lived based on growth band counts (Cailliet et al. 1985). However, no age validation has been successfully undertaken to date. Results from the Cailliet et al. (1985) study indicated that white shark may

mature at an age of 9–10 years and live up to 27 years (assuming a maximum size of 7.6 m). Sharks were estimated to grow at a rate of 25–30 cm year⁻¹ for young animals and 22 cm year⁻¹ for older animals. In addition to a relatively late age at maturity and a slow growth rate, life history characteristics such as low fecundity and hypothesized infrequent reproduction frequency (Mollet et al. 2000), may make the white shark particularly vulnerable to exploitation (Cailliet et al. 1985). Validation of age and age estimation procedures is essential because proper management strategies rely heavily on accurate growth rates, age, and longevity.

Age can be estimated by counting vertebral growth band pairs; however, not all sharks deposit annual growth bands and many are not easily discernable (Cailliet and Goldman 2004). Thus, it is necessary to validate growth band periodicity with an independent method. Traditional age validation techniques, such as captive rearing, mark-recapture, and tag-recapture, can be difficult or impractical for these long-lived, pelagic fishes (Cailliet 1990). Marginal increment analysis and oxytetracycline injection of white sharks was attempted off the coast of South Africa, but results were inconclusive with regard to determining periodicity of growth band formation (Wintner and Cliff 1999). Radiometric age validation using lead-210 dating was also explored for this species, but results were inconclusive (Welden et al. 1987), due to failed assumptions. The irregular radiometric results were attributed to possible metabolic reworking of the vertebrae (likely the inorganic component, hydroxyapatite), or the result of an ontogenetic shift in habitat and diet of individual animals. A recent captive juvenile white shark held at the Monterey Bay Aquarium established the longest time span and record of growth in captivity. Prior to its release, the white shark was shown to have increased from 5 feet (1.52 m) TL and 62 lbs (28.1 kg) to 6 feet 4.5 inches (1.94 m) TL and 162 lbs (73.5 kg) during the 198 days of captivity¹. This shark had a growth rate more than double that estimated by Cailliet et al. (1985); however, this high growth

¹ Monterey Bay Aquarium News Release, 31 March 2005

rate is not reflective of growth rates in the wild due to the sizeable feeding regime of this animal in captivity.

Measurement of the change in radiocarbon levels ($\Delta^{14}\text{C}$) produced by atmospheric testing of thermonuclear devices in the 1950s and 1960s has been established as an effective method for validating age estimates in calcified skeletal structures (Campana 2001). The discrete $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal created by this testing was incorporated into the oceans of the world and has been used as a time-specific marker. Recent studies have correlated the changes in marine ^{14}C over time and used this temporal information to either: (1) make estimates of age and growth where no reliable age estimations were possible (e.g. calcareous algae, invertebrates, and some fishes; Frantz et al. 2000; Ebert and Southon 2003; Andrews et al. 2005; Frantz et al. 2005); or (2) validate estimates of age and growth (e.g. fishes; Kalish 1995; Kerr et al. 2005). For some $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ records, age and growth of an organism was validated with another method and used to establish a $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ reference time-series (e.g. hermatypic corals and fishes; Guilderson et al. 1998; Kerr et al. 2004; Piner and Wischniowski 2004). The utility of the method is dependent upon the ^{14}C signal retained in the skeletal structure of marine organisms as a permanent record of the ^{14}C present in ambient seawater at the time of formation. In fishes, this application is most commonly applied to the calcified ear bones, or otoliths. Recently, the use of this technique was expanded to shark vertebrae, including the school shark, *Galeorhinus galeus*, (Kalish and Johnston 2001) and two lamnoid sharks (Campana et al. 2002). Results of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analyses for porbeagle, *Lamna nasus*, vertebrae indicated the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal was conserved across growth bands through time. Therefore, it was concluded that metabolic reworking of the organic or cartilaginous component of the vertebrae was minimal (Campana et al. 2002). This notion was further supported by a preliminary determination of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values for four growth bands from a single shortfin mako, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, vertebrae (Campana et al. 2002).

Radiocarbon age validation has been successfully applied to marine teleosts and sharks inhabiting surface waters during the period of life

sampled from the growth structure for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analysis. It has been noted, however, that the application of this technique can be problematic for species inhabiting waters below the mixed layer; due to the dependence of the ^{14}C signal in deeper waters on oceanic circulation and mixing rates (Kalish 1995). Therefore, knowledge of both seasonal and ontogenetic movements of a study species is important for interpreting $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values, especially in the case of elasmobranchs for which we are able to serially sample growth bands from vertebrae over the lifetime of the individual.

Interpretation of vertebral $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values is further complicated by the source of ^{14}C to the shark vertebrae. Unlike fish otoliths, which primarily obtain ^{14}C from ambient seawater (70–90% derived from dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in seawater and 10–30% is dietary; Kalish 1991; Farrell and Campana 1996), shark vertebrae reflect the ^{14}C composition of their diet (Kalish and Johnston 2001; Campana et al. 2002). Thus, an understanding of a species' diet is also necessary for interpreting shark vertebral $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values. Documented large-scale movements (Boustany et al. 2002; Bonfil et al. 2005) and a variable diet (Tricas and McCosker 1984; Klimley 1985) present complications in the application of ^{14}C age validation to the white shark. We proposed to approach these complications using stable isotopes in concert with ^{14}C measurements in vertebrae to discern possible changes in life history and diet over the lifetime of animals.

The objectives of this study were to: (1) measure $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ in aged vertebrae as a means of determining the validity of white shark age estimates and the periodicity of growth band formation, and (2) use $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements in white shark vertebrae to better understand the trophic position and carbon source to the vertebrae and to aid in the interpretation of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values.

Materials and methods

Archived white shark vertebrae collected off the coast of central and southern California (capture years ranging from 1936 to 1994) were obtained from various collections for this study (sources

included vertebrae from collections at Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, Los Angeles County Museum, California Academy of Sciences, Sea World San Diego, and from Leonard J.V. Compagno at the Shark Research Center, Iziko-Museums of Cape Town South African Museum, Cape Town, South Africa (Table 1).

Age estimation

One whole vertebra from each specimen was transversely sectioned, using the thin-section technique, for age estimation purposes. Sectioning was performed on a low-speed saw with two diamond blades separated by a spacer (2–3 mm) and polished with a Buehler® Ecomet III lapping wheel using 600 and 800 grit silicon-carbide wet/dry sandpaper for optimal viewing thickness. Sections were examined and images captured using a Leica dissecting microscope with an attached Spot RT® video camera. Transmitted light was used to make growth bands visible for counting. A growth band pair was defined as one translucent and one opaque growth band. Thin section age estimates were estimated by one reader (3 independent reads) and compared to whole vertebra age estimates and/or calculated age (for those vertebrae that were not used in the original age and growth study by Cailliet et al. 1985). Calculated age was estimated with the von

Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) determined by Cailliet et al. (1985). Coefficient of Variation (CV) was calculated for thin section age estimates as a measure of ageing precision (Chang 1982).

Radiocarbon analysis

Vertebrae from nine individual white sharks were sectioned (described previously) for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analysis. In total, 22 white shark vertebra growth band pairs, with estimated growth years ranging from the pre-bomb 1930s to the post-bomb mid-1980s, were extracted for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analyses. The limited availability of archived vertebrae with known capture years restricted the selection of vertebra and growth band years to be analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$. Individual growth band pairs were sampled from thin-sections of the corpus calcareum using a New Wave® micro-milling machine with a small-scale end mill. The width of growth band pairs was used to guide extraction and minimize the amount of older or younger material incorporated in the sample. Hence the amount of material extracted decreased as growth slowed and band width decreased. The first growth band pair past the birth band (estimated as the first year of growth after birth) and one to three subsequent growth band pairs further up the corpus calcareum were extracted for analysis from each vertebrae. The last band pair, corresponding to the last year of

Table 1 Summary of data from white shark collected off the coast of California. Sample number identifies the individual white shark with corresponding sex, year of capture, capture location and total length (TL). Application indicates if vertebrae from the individual

was used for ageing (Age), stable isotope analysis (SI), and radiocarbon analysis (^{14}C). The number of samples analyzed for stable isotopes or ^{14}C for each individual is noted in parentheses

Sample #	Sex	Year of Capture	Capture location	TL (cm)	Application
WH 1	M	1978	Moss Landing, CA	393	Age, SI (5), ^{14}C (3)
WH 3	M	1968	Half Moon Bay, CA	234	Age, SI (2), ^{14}C (3)
WH 6	F	1959	Tomales Bay, CA	277.5	Age, SI (3), ^{14}C (4)
WH 7	F	1936	Malibu, CA	167.6	Age, ^{14}C (1)
WH 8	M	1981	southern CA	147.3	Age, ^{14}C (1)
WH 9	M	1981	southern CA	159	Age
WH 12	?	1977	Ventura, CA	210	Age, ^{14}C (1)
WH 17	M	1982	southern CA	460.9	Age, SI (4), ^{14}C (4)
WH 25	?	1984	Half Moon Bay, CA	168 ^a	Age, ^{14}C (1)
WH 90	M	Unknown	California	471	SI (4)
WH 128	F	1994	California	534.4	SI (5)
WH 26694	?	1959	NE Pacific, CA	225.4	Age, ^{14}C (1)

^a TL not recorded and calculated from a total length to centrum diameter regression

growth, was targeted to provide a sample where time of formation was constrained by the collection date. In some cases, the penultimate growth band pair was targeted because the width of the last growth band pair provided insufficient sample size. Extraction of the sample with the micro-mill resulted in a solid sample of material that was weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg.

Pre-treatment of vertebral samples was performed to isolate the organic portion (collagen) and maximize the carbon yield from vertebrae (Brown et al. 1988). **Inorganic carbon was removed through the process of demineralization by soaking vertebral samples in 0.25 N HCl at refrigerator temperatures (slows reaction). Treated samples were dried in an oven and placed in clean quartz tubes.** CuO (copper oxide, oxidizing agent) and Ag (silver, used to remove impurities: SOx and NOx) were added to the treated organic sample. Three samples from individual vertebrae were replicated and analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ without demineralization to evaluate the effect of demineralization on $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ measurements. Quartz tubes were evacuated, sealed, and heated for 2 h at 900°C to convert the organic carbon to CO_2 . Sample CO_2 was converted to graphite (Vogel et al. 1984; Vogel et al. 1987) and measured for ^{14}C content using an accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) at the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. The ^{14}C values were reported as $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (Stuivier and Polach 1977).

A qualitative comparison of the white shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ record was made with existing marine records including two otolith-based records, the yelloweye rockfish, *Sebastes ruberrimus*, (Kerr et al. 2004) and Pacific halibut, *Hypoglossus stenolepis*, (Piner and Wischniowski 2004), and three vertebra-based shark records, the western North Atlantic porbeagle (Campana et al. 2002), western North Atlantic shortfin mako (Campana et al. 2002; Ardizzone et al. 2006), and the western South Pacific school shark (Kalish and Johnston 2001).

Stable isotope analysis

Vertebrae from six individual white sharks were sectioned (described previously) for isotopic

analysis ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$). Four of the six vertebra samples were also analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ and many of the growth band pairs analyzed for stable isotopes were targeted to coincide with those analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$. In total, 23 white shark vertebra growth band pairs were extracted from the corpus calcareum using a New Wave micro-milling machine and analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Vertebral samples were demineralized to isolate collagen from the vertebrae (Brown et al. 1988). In addition, lipids were extracted from samples analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ using a 2:1:0.8 methanol/chloroform/water mixture. Samples were analyzed by continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) at University of California, Davis. Values are reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relative to standards of Pee Dee Belemnite limestone (^{13}C) and atmospheric N_2 (^{15}N).

Trophic position (TP) of the white shark was calculated using the equation:

$$\text{TP} = \frac{\lambda + (\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{consumer}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{base}})}{\Delta n}$$

Where λ is the trophic position of the organism employed to estimate the baseline $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for the region, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{consumer}}$ is the average value of the consumer, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{base}}$ is the average value of the base organism, and Δn is the average enrichment per trophic level (Post 2002). The northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, was chosen as a representative secondary consumer (assigned a trophic position (λ) of 3.0) for estimating $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{base}}$ of the region and an average trophic enrichment ($\Delta^{15}\text{N}$) of 3.4 was assumed following Estrada et al. (2003).

Results

Age estimation

The vertebrae from white sharks were relatively difficult to age. A comparison of thin section age estimates with corresponding whole vertebral-based estimates revealed there was reasonable agreement (H_0 : no significant difference between techniques; paired t -test: $P = 0.65$, $\alpha = 0.05$; Table 2). Thin section age estimates were, on

Table 2 Age estimate comparison between values obtained from thin sections in this study, and whole vertebral reads and calculated age based on von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) from Cailliet et al. 1985. Age estimation error was the uncertainty associated with the thin section age estimates (individual coefficient of variation (CV); rounded to the nearest whole number). Mean CV = 16%

Sample #	Estimated age		
	Thin section (±CV)	Whole vertebrae (Cailliet et al. 1985)	Calculated age (VBGF) (Cailliet et al. 1985)
WH 1	7 (±1)	9	9
WH 3	3 (±0)	2	3
WH 6	4 (±1)	2	4
WH 7	1 (±0)	1	1
WH 9	0 (±0)	1	0
WH 12	1 (±1)	2	2
WH 17	18 (±1)	13	12

average, higher than whole vertebral age estimates. The mean CV for thin section age estimates was 16.0% of the individual age. Age estimates from thin sections deviated by as much as 2 years.

Radiocarbon analysis

Radiocarbon values measured in growth band pairs from white sharks varied considerably over time (Table 3). When $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values were plotted against estimated growth year, the values produced a $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series from 1936 to 1984 (Fig. 1). Overall, $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values increased as growth year progresses into the post-bomb era. However, this increase was not synchronous with the characteristic bomb ^{14}C rise and had considerably more scatter in the post-bomb period than could be explained by $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ measurement uncertainty. Replicate samples from 1956 had very depleted $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values that were atypical of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ measured in surface waters of the Pacific Ocean. These replicate samples differed from each other by 87.8‰. Pre-bomb $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values (1936 to approximately 1959, excluding the 1956 outliers) had a trend similar to the pre-bomb record from the reference time-series, averaging $-90.5 \pm 5.1\text{‰}$ (mean \pm SD). The first evidence of an increase

due to atmospheric testing of thermonuclear devices was the elevated $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ value measured for the 1966 growth band pair (-72.24‰). This value was the first to have a $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ value that was significantly above pre-bomb $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels using a +2 SD criteria ($+10.2\text{‰}$). The rise in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ continued until 1984 (the last growth year sampled) with a maximum observed $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ value of 79.8‰ and no indication of a post-bomb decline.

Radiocarbon values for the first year of growth from five age-1 (± 1 year) white sharks created a $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ reference time-series for this species composed of essentially known-age specimens (Fig. 1). The $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values in these vertebrae showed a response to the bomb pulse that is similar in magnitude to the two otolith reference time-series from the Pacific Ocean, but differed by what appears to be a significant phase lag.

Radiocarbon values from vertebral material near the known year of capture (up to minus 2 years from date of collection) revealed a very different pattern relative to the known-age juvenile series (Fig. 1). Radiocarbon values in these vertebrae showed a depleted and/or delayed bomb ^{14}C signal based on knowledge of the collection year. All other samples, for which age was estimated from growth band pair counts, had $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values distributed between these two sets of time-constrained samples.

Radiocarbon values for demineralized and untreated vertebral samples (these samples were as close to replicates as we could get based on the position in the corpus calcareum) indicated a difference in the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ between treated and untreated samples; hence, the inorganic portion of the vertebrae had different $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels (Table 3). All untreated samples had elevated $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values compared to their demineralized counterparts.

Stable isotope analysis

Twenty-three growth band pairs, extracted from the vertebrae of six individual white sharks, were analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. A decreasing trend with increased age was exhibited for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values within individual vertebrae (Fig. 2). Growth band pairs \geq age 6 in the vertebrae were used to discriminate between what we have termed juvenile and adult growth, a criterion based on a reported

Table 3 Summary of vertebral and $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ data from white shark collected off the coast of California. Resolved age is the final age estimate. Birth year is collection year minus the resolved age. Ageing error is the uncertainty

associated with the age estimate (CV). Radiocarbon values for the extracted samples were expressed as $\Delta^{14}\text{C} \pm \text{AMS analytical uncertainty}$

Sample #	Resolved age (years \pm age error)	Capture Year	Birth Year	Year Sampled for ^{14}C analysis (years)	$\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (‰)
WH 7	1 \pm 0	1936	1935	1936	-94.2 \pm 3.5
WH 26694	2 \pm 1	1959	1957	1956 ^a	-92.7 \pm 3.5
WH6	4 \pm 1	1959	1955	1956	-318.2 \pm 2.9
WH 6	4 \pm 1	1959	1955	1956	-230.4 \pm 3.0
WH 6^b	4 \pm 1	1959	1955	1956	-63.1 \pm 3.6
WH 6	4 \pm 1	1959	1955	1957	-83.0 \pm 3.5
WH 6	4 \pm 1	1959	1955	1959	-92.1 \pm 4.0
WH 3	3 \pm 0	1968	1965	1966	-72.2 \pm 4.0
WH 3	3 \pm 0	1968	1965	1967	-21.8 \pm 3.7
WH 3	3 \pm 0	1968	1965	1968	-98.5 \pm 3.7
WH 3^b	3 \pm 0	1968	1965	1968	-72.9 \pm 3.9
WH 17	18 \pm 1	1982	1964	1966	-74.1 \pm 5.0
WH 17	18 \pm 1	1982	1964	1971	-65.6 \pm 4.9
WH 17	18 \pm 1	1982	1964	1976	-29.2 \pm 4.2
WH 17	18 \pm 1	1982	1964	1981	34.7 \pm 4.5
WH 1	7 \pm 1	1978	1971	1972	-59.7 \pm 4.2
WH 1	7 \pm 0	1978	1971	1975	-58.3 \pm 3.5
WH 1	7 \pm 1	1978	1971	1978	-55.8 \pm 3.6
WH 1^b	7 \pm 1	1978	1971	1978	1.8 \pm 3.8
WH 12	1 \pm 1	1977	1975	1975	58.2 \pm 4.9
WH 8	1 \pm 0	1981	1980	1981	75.0 \pm 4.2
WH 25	1 \pm 0	1984	1983	1984	79.8 \pm 4.1

^a indicates sample was composed of pre-birth material

^b indicates samples that were not demineralized

size at transition in diet of 3 meters documented by Compagno (2001) and estimated age at transition (6 years) based on the VBGF estimated from Cailliet et al. (1985). Mean stable isotope values associated with juvenile growth (1–5 years: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $-11.81 \pm 0.60\text{‰}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $19.16 \pm 1.01\text{‰}$) and adult growth (6–18 years: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: $-12.73 \pm 0.62\text{‰}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: $19.34 \pm 0.93\text{‰}$) showed no significant difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (paired *t*-test, *P* = 0.70) or calculated trophic position (paired *t*-test, *P* = 0.70), but did exhibit a significant difference in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between juvenile and adult growth (paired *t*-test *P* = 0.01).

Overall, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values ranged from 17.68 to 20.84‰ (Mean: $19.24\text{‰} \pm 0.95\text{‰}$) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values ranged from -13.31 to -11.10‰ (Mean: -12.24 ± 0.76 ; Table 4). Across longitudinal sections of individual vertebra, nitrogen values differed by a maximum of 0.51–2.85‰ and carbon values differed by a maximum of 0.39–2.05‰ (Table 4). An approximate increase of 1‰ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$

and 3–4‰ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is associated with an increase in one trophic level (Michener and Schell 1994). Four individual vertebrae exhibited differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ across longitudinal sections of vertebra $>1\text{‰}$, however no vertebrae exhibited differences in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values $>3\text{‰}$ across longitudinal sections. White shark stable isotope ratios indicated feeding at an upper trophic level relative to fish and marine mammal stable isotope values from the eastern North Pacific Ocean (Fig. 3). Trophic position calculation of the white shark based on mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value resulted in an estimated trophic position of 4.57 (range 4.11–5.04).

Discussion

Age estimation

The observation that thin section age estimates were, on average, higher than those from whole




Fig. 1 Radiocarbon ($\Delta^{14}\text{C}$) values for white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, (solid symbols) vertebral cores ($n = 22$) in relation to year. The solid line connects values from known-age juvenile white sharks, the dashed line connects values from known-collection years of the sharks, the unconnected black circles are $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values plotted in relation to years based on estimated age, and black diamonds are replicate untreated samples that were

analyzed for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$. Horizontal error bars represent the age estimate uncertainty, rounded to the nearest whole number, and vertical error bars represent the 1σ AMS analytical uncertainty. The white shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series is plotted with two regional $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ chronologies for the yelloweye rockfish (open circles, Kerr et al. 2004) and Pacific halibut otoliths (open squares, Piner and Wischniowski 2004)

vertebrae was expected based on what we know about these two techniques. Currently, thin sectioning is accepted as the more accurate technique (Cailliet and Goldman 2004); and because growth band pairs were isolated from thin sections for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analyses, we relied on these age estimates in this study.

Radiocarbon analysis

It is well established that the initial rise in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ is nearly synchronous in all marine carbonate records, but the white shark record exhibited an asynchronous $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series. The uncharacteristic timing of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values differed from the nearest chronologies in the region (Kerr et al. 2004; Piner and Wischniowski 2004). There are three plausible mechanisms for explaining this uncharacteristic trend: (1) an apparent delay of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal due to age underestimation; (2) metabolic reworking of the vertebral collagen; and (3) a depletion of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels due to depleted dietary sources of carbon. Each of these factors could explain the observed trend individually, but a combination of some or all of them cannot be ruled out.

Age underestimation of white shark vertebrae would be manifested as a delayed $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series. The degree of age underestimation was estimated by tracing estimated growth years back to their appropriate place on the white shark reference curve (known-age juvenile series). Potential age underestimation ranged from 6–11 years




Fig. 2 Stable carbon ratio ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) for samples ($n = 23$) taken across longitudinal sections of six individual white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, vertebrae. Unique symbols represent $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ results for samples from an individual white shark vertebra

Table 4 Summary of vertebral and stable isotope data from white shark collected off the coast of California. Sample number identifies the individual white shark. Isotope values are reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relative to

standards of Pee Dee Belemnite limestone ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and atmospheric N_2 ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$). Overall difference in stable isotope ratios is the maximum difference observed across longitudinal sections of an individual vertebra

Sample #	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Estimated Age (year)	Overall difference in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	Overall difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)
WH 17	-11.39	19.51	2	1.75	0.51
WH 17	-12.88	20.03	6		
WH 17	-13.14	20.00	11		
WH 17	-13.06	19.81	16	1.71	2.16
WH 90	-11.28	17.87	2		
WH 90	-11.74	19.51	5		
WH 90	-12.19	20.03	10	2.05	1.69
WH 90	-12.99	18.67	18		
WH 128	-11.49	19.87	0		
WH 128	-11.73	19.48	2	0.82	0.91
WH 128	-11.26	19.13	6		
WH 128	-12.87	18.18	10		
WH 128	-13.31	19.70	15	0.39	0.53
WH 6	-11.92	18.31	1		
WH 6	-11.87	19.21	2		
WH 6	-11.10	18.86	4	2.00	2.85
WH 3	-13.08	17.68	1		
WH 3	-12.69	18.21	3		
WH 1	-12.20	20.61	1	1.75	0.51
WH 1	-11.20	20.78	4		
WH 1	-12.09	20.84	6		
WH 1	-13.01	18.41	7		
WH 1	-13.20	17.93	7		

(mean 9 ± 3 years). Examination of the residuals (estimated age versus expected age based on the reference time series) for potential age underestimation indicated there was no systematic ageing bias (no significant trend observed, $r^2 = 0.02$). If age underestimation were the sole source of the apparent delay, it would not explain the delay in the time constrained samples taken near the collection year. These samples alone strongly suggest there are other factors responsible for the observed trend.

A more plausible explanation is that there is a metabolic reworking of the vertebrae through the life of the fish. The question of metabolic reworking of vertebrae has been hypothesized for the white shark in relation to radiometric age determination. Analysis of vertebrae for lead-210 indicated this may not be a static or conserved structure with respect to the inorganic portion of the vertebrae (Welden et al. 1987). Unlike cancellous or “true” bone which undergoes

remodeling over the lifetime of the animal, hydroxyapatite, the primary component of shark cartilage, is thought to grow by accretion with little remodeling; therefore, recording conserved information for different periods of the animal’s life (Koch et al. 1994).

Because there is no empirical evidence to support or refute the temporal stability of the organic portion of vertebral cartilage, we looked to isotopes for indirect evidence. Differences in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values preserved across longitudinal sections of vertebrae, with differences in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ as great as 235‰ (sample WH 6), support the conclusion that vertebrae are not completely reworked. This is also supported by $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ results for the porbeagle that indicated metabolic reworking was minimal and the ^{14}C signal was not transported across growth bands through time (Campana et al. 2002). These findings suggest that carbon in the vertebral material is metabolically and temporally stable (Campana et al. 2002).




Fig. 3 Stable carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) for individual white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, vertebral cores (open circles; $n = 23$) in relation to stable nitrogen ratios ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) plotted with mean marine mammal (open triangles; harbor seal, *Phoca vitulina*, elephant seal *Mirounga angustirostris*, California sea lion, *Zalophus californianus*, Stellar sea lion, *Eumetopias jubata*; Burton et al. 2001; Jamon et al. 1996) and fish stable isotope values (open squares; rockfishes, *Sebastes* spp., white croaker, *Genyonemus lineatus*, shortraker rockfish, *Sebastes brevispinis*, northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, and California market squid, *Loligo opalescens* (Toperoff 1997; Jarman et al. 1996)), and blue shark (crosses, *Prionace glauca*; R. Leaf personal communication). Mean (\pm SD) isotope ratio for juvenile growth band pairs (closed circle; $n = 12$, growth band pairs 1–5 years) and adult growth band pairs (closed square; $n = 11$, growth band pairs 6–18 years) in white shark vertebrae are shown. This distinction based on ontogenetic shift in diet at size 3 m

However, further research is needed to provide direct evidence to validate the assumption of shark vertebrae functioning as a closed-system with respect to carbon and nitrogen.

A third possibility for the uncharacteristic trend in the white shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal is that values were depleted due to the dietary source of carbon to the vertebrae. Because the composition of vertebral collagen reflects dietary sources, low $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of vertebrae could be the result of prey consumption that has integrated a ^{14}C depleted signal, possibly from a deep-sea source (Campana 1999). Incorporation of ^{14}C in the ocean is related to ocean circulation and mixing, with different water masses reflecting different ^{14}C values and values becoming attenuated with depth (Broecker and Peng 1982). Radiocarbon enters the food web through photosynthetic organisms and is transferred to higher trophic levels (Pearcy and Stuvier 1983). Lower mesopelagic, bathypelagic and abyssopelagic animals

in the northeastern Pacific Ocean, however, were found to have depleted $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values relative to surface dwellers (1973–1976), indicating that surface-derived POC was not the major source of organic carbon for deep-sea fish (Pearcy and Stuvier 1983).

A steep gradient in bomb $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values with depth was documented in the North Pacific Ocean, with $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of DIC in the North Central Pacific reaching approximately -100‰ at about 500 m (1986), and values of -150‰ in the Santa Monica Basin at about 500 m (1986–1987; Druffel and Williams 1990). Similarly, low DOC $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values have been documented in the North Pacific Ocean ($\sim -375\text{‰}$ at 500 m in the North Central Pacific and $\sim -300\text{‰}$ at 100 m in Santa Monica Basin; Druffel and Williams 1990). Druffel and Williams (1990) proposed three possible mechanisms for the incorporation of ^{14}C depleted carbon into the oceanic food chain: (1) uptake of DOC by bacteria in the water column, or adsorption of depleted DOC through webs and mucous of filter feeders (e.g. larvaceans and salps), (2) chemosynthetic production of organic matter from DIC, and (3) resuspension of depleted sedimentary organic carbon. Druffel and Williams (1990) identified this depleted deep-sea source of carbon as responsible for depletion of surface water POC $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values by an average of 93‰ in these regions. This magnitude of depletion was strikingly similar to the average depletion of white shark vertebral values ($93\text{‰} \pm 53\text{‰}$).

Until recently, white sharks in the Pacific Ocean were thought to reside in waters of the upper continental shelf and were not known to dive below 100 m. Satellite tagging results revealed the white shark are deep diving, with a bimodal depth of occurrence at 0–5 and 300–500 m (Boustany et al. 2002). This tagging study, along with Bonfil et al. (2005), revealed that white sharks are wider ranging than previously thought, with extensive periods of oceanic travel and what appears to be distinctive oceanic and coastal phases. Based on what is now known about its depth of occurrence and extensive movements, consumption of deep-dwelling prey or prey that feed in deep waters is a strong possibility. This depleted source offers a reasonable explanation

for the unusually low $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values for replicate measures of the 1956 white shark samples and attenuated values measured for known collection years during the post-bomb era. In addition, the degree of variation in depletion could be related to the variable diet of this pelagic species that inhabits both inshore and offshore habitats and consumes a wide spectrum of prey over the course of their lifetime.

The reference chronology comprised of known-age juveniles reflected a more rapid response to the bomb pulse, most likely due to the habitat utilized during the juvenile stage. Satellite tagging results of a juvenile (young of the year) white shark off the coast of California supported by evidence of capture locations indicate that juvenile white shark remain in coastal waters (Klimley 1985; Dewar et al. 2004). Juveniles inhabiting and feeding nearshore on coastal fish would reflect a $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal typical of coastal, surface waters and would be more synchronous with the reference time series.

Employing a simple mass balance equation for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ allowed us to examine the likelihood the observed values in white shark vertebrae were attributable to the influence of a depleted deep-water carbon source. The equation describing this deep-water influence is:

$$\Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{observed}} = \Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{expected}}(x) - \Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{deep-water}}(1-x)$$

where $\Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{observed}}$ is the value measured in the vertebrae, $\Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{expected}}$ is the value expected based on the white shark reference curve, x is the fraction contributed from the “expected” surface water source, $\Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{deep-water}}$ is a value of a possible deep-water source based on ^{14}C gradients off the coast of California (Druffel and Williams 1990), and $1-x$ is the fraction contributed from the “deep-water” source. As a result of this modeling exercise, most observed $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values for white shark vertebrae were found to be similar in value to contributions ranging from a small percent of the diet composed of a highly depleted ^{14}C source (25% contribution of a -300‰ source) to a large percentage of the diet composed of a slightly depleted ^{14}C source (75% contribution of a -100‰ source). Based on the highly variable diet of white sharks and this modeling, it is likely that

depleted values are attributable to a small contribution of highly depleted ^{14}C sources in the diet.

Factors associated with the sampling method should also be considered. There are two factors that may have influenced the outcome of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ levels measured in white shark vertebrae: (1) the location of sampling within the vertebrae and (2) the preparation of vertebrae for $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ analysis (demineralized versus untreated vertebrae). The variation in replicated demineralized samples (WH 6: 1956 samples) from the same location within the vertebra indicated that small deviations in coring location can result in large deviations in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (an observed difference of 87.8‰). This can be explained by large variations of ^{14}C in food sources that were variably accreted during the period of formation. In addition, relatively little is known about the growth and accretion of white shark vertebrae. If growth was episodic and accretion was not uniform, but rather focused where material is needed from a structural perspective, this could explain regional variations of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal of the vertebrae.

Results from untreated samples (the inorganic and organic portions) indicated the potential presence of carbonates in the inorganic portion of the vertebrae in two ways. First, we observed bubbling during the acid dissolution of the vertebrae indicating CO_2 was liberated from the inorganic portion of the vertebral matrix. Second, the elevated levels of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ in replicate untreated samples compared to demineralized (the organic portion) samples indicated a ^{14}C source in the inorganic portion of the vertebrae. The depletion of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values in the organic portion of the vertebrae likely reflects the different sources of ^{14}C to the organic and inorganic portions of the vertebrae. The dominant source of carbon to the organic portion of the vertebrae is known to be metabolic (Kalish and Johnston 2001, Campana et al. 2001). The difference between the demineralized and untreated vertebrae represents the addition of elevated ^{14}C values, most likely from a DIC source. Evidence of a similar trend was identified in the treatment of organic (collagen) and inorganic (carbonate) portions of swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, vertebrae (Kalish and Demartini 2001). Results from the study revealed

the organic component of the swordfish vertebrae was more ^{14}C depleted than the inorganic component (Kalish and Demartini 2001). This difference was attributed to the dominant source of carbon to the organic portion of the vertebrae being metabolically derived and the source to inorganic portion derived from DIC. Our results indicate this same trend is present in the white shark vertebrae.

A comparison of the white shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ record with three other shark records indicated the white shark record was most temporally similar to the school shark record from the South Pacific Ocean (Fig. 4; Kalish and Johnston 2001). The school shark $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series was delayed in relation to the nearest marine carbonate chronology and in this case the delay was attributed to age underestimation. A 3-year lag observed in the porbeagle $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series, based on comparison to a juvenile porbeagle reference time series, was attributed to both the mean age and depth of occupied by prey in the diet of porbeagle (Campana et al. 2002). All of these shark records show, to differing degrees, a nonconforming $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ signal compared to their nearest $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ chronology. In the case of the white shark, we hypothesize that there is depletion of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ time series due to the influence of depleted ^{14}C sources to the vertebrae. This nonconformity indicates the increased complexity of interpreting $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values in shark vertebrae for the purpose of validating age and


the necessity to interpret values in the context of diet, movement, and regional ^{14}C gradient information.

Stable isotope analysis

Stable isotope ratios can provide valuable information relative to trophic level, carbon flow to a consumer, and location of feeding (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 2001). While gut content analysis provides a snapshot of what was most recently consumed by an animal, stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) can provide time-integrated diet information (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 2001). This application is based on predictable enrichment factors of both ^{13}C (approximately 1‰ enrichment) and ^{15}N (approximately 3–4‰ enrichment) in consumer bone collagen relative to prey with increasing trophic level, termed trophic fractionation (Deniro and Epstein 1981; Schoeniger and Deniro 1984; Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 1999). Additionally, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ can provide information relative to feeding habitat (inshore versus offshore; France 1995). A caveat to this approach is that spatial and temporal variability in stable isotope ratios must be considered when interpreting stable isotope values.

In general, adults are reported to feed primarily on marine mammals and juveniles on fishes (Le Boeuf et al. 1982; Tricas and McCosker 1984; Klimley 1985; Compagno 2001). Current

Fig. 4 Radiocarbon ($\Delta^{14}\text{C}$) values from white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias* vertebral cores (closed circles) and three vertebral-based shark records, the northwest Atlantic porbeagle (open diamonds, Campana 2002), northwest Atlantic mako shark (solid triangles; Campana 2002; Ardizzone et al. 2006), and the southern Pacific school shark (open squares; Kalish and Johnston 2001)



knowledge of the white shark diet is based on stomach contents from opportunistic nearshore landings and observed feeding events. The main prey items of the white shark include marine cephalopods, crustaceans, ray-finned bony fishes, cartilaginous fishes, mammals, and birds (Klimley 1985; Compagno 2001). In an examination of white sharks captured off the coast of northern and central California, Tricas and McCosker (1984) found stomach contents to be comprised solely of elasmobranchs and teleost fishes that inhabit both pelagic and inshore habitats.

Stable isotope values obtained in this study for white shark vertebrae, when compared with representative prey item values for bony fishes and mammals off the coast of California, indicate that the white shark is an upper trophic level consumer. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values indicate enrichment relative to fish and most marine mammals and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values indicate isotopic enrichment relative to fishes and similar values to mammals in the region. Differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values across longitudinal sections of individual vertebra were variable over the lifetime of individuals (Table 4).

Calculated trophic position (TP) for the white shark was greater in value than trophic position calculated for the basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus* = 3.1 (primary prey: zooplankton), blue shark *Prionace glauca* = 3.8 (primary prey: fish), and shortfin mako *Isurus oxyrinchus* = 4.0 (primary prey: fish) and similar to the TP calculated for the common thresher shark *Alopias vulpinus* = 4.5 (primary prey: fish and squid). The white shark had similar variability in TP (TP ranged from 4.11 to 5.04) as calculated for the shortfin mako (TP ranged from 3.6 to 4.5), this was attributed to migration and feeding in both inshore and offshore regions (Estrada et al. 2003). Calculated white shark TP for this study agrees with the determination of trophic level for the family Lamnidae by Cortes (1999) based on diet composition (mean = 4.3, range = 4.22–4.5) and specifically the trophic level determined for the white shark (4.5) based on diet composition. In this analysis the mean TP of marine mammals was estimated at 4.0, placing the trophic level of white sharks somewhat higher than marine mammals (Cortes 1999).

Estrada et al. (2003) contend that TP values obtained for sharks in their study do not support the idea put forth by Fisk et al. (2002), that retention of urea in elasmobranchs may lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values and therefore lead to underestimation of trophic position. Based on our limited data, we cannot determine whether urea retention may have affected white shark $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. However, the similarity of calculated TP in this study to the diet analysis TP supports the idea that urea retention was not a problem.

Values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measured in juvenile growth band pairs were significantly enriched compared to adult growth bands; which may reflect variation of ^{13}C due to feeding location rather than trophic level. Isotopic signatures in mobile animals may reflect feeding in different environments or an actual change in diet (Michener and Schell 1994). Gradients of ^{13}C in the northeast Pacific Ocean demonstrate an enriched nearshore signal (benthic-based food web) compared to the offshore, oceanic signal (phytoplankton-based food web) and a $\sim 2\text{‰}$ enrichment in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ has been associated with marine mammals foraging in nearshore versus offshore waters of California (Burton and Koch 1999). In addition, gradients of ^{13}C with depth have been observed in the northeast Pacific Ocean (off the coast of British Columbia, Canada) where the slope/deep ocean food web was determined to be depleted relative to the pelagic food web (4.3‰ depletion in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ based on measurements of fish larvae; Perry et al. 1999). Therefore, feeding on prey from offshore, deeper waters will reflect a depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signal relative to prey inhabiting nearshore regions.

The trend in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ across individual longitudinal sections of vertebrae tended to decrease with increased age of white shark, thus perhaps reflecting an ontogenetic shift in habitat and foraging location. Evidence suggests that juveniles are residing and feeding in shallow inshore waters and adults spending increased time offshore feeding in deep oceanic waters, incorporating prey reflecting a depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signal relative to nearshore surface waters. However, the decrease observed in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is a small one ($\sim 1.5\text{‰}$ difference) with respect to potential spatial and temporal variability of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and cannot be considered

as evidence alone for this behavior, but is supported by the tagging literature.

Although an ontogenetic shift in trophic level has been documented in the diet literature and identified through stable isotope analysis of white shark vertebrae from the western North Atlantic (Estrada et al. 2006), we found no significant difference between mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ or calculated trophic position of juvenile growth and adult growth band pairs (juvenile versus adult classification is based solely on an ontogenetic shift in diet documented at 3 m length). The lack of evidence for an ontogenetic trophic level shift based on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values may reflect environmental (spatial and temporal) variation in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 1999) and/or a variable adult white shark diet with lower trophic items remaining an important component in the adult diet (Estrada et al. 2006). Because white shark migratory movements consist of coastal and pelagic periods (Boustany et al. 2002), lower trophic items, such as pelagic fish, could dominate the diet during these pelagic phases. Although most diet studies stress the importance of pinnipeds in adult white shark diets, Tricas and McCosker (1984) documented the greater numerical importance of teleosts and elasmobranchs over marine mammals in white shark diet. If no change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is attributable to diet, this finding would support an increased diversity and size spectrum of prey consumed as white shark increase in size, as opposed a strict shift from a fish to a marine mammal diet (Compagno 2001). Alternatively, the time period over which growth bands were sampled from white shark vertebrae coincided with the decline in abundance of pinnipeds in the North Pacific Ocean over the last several decades (Springer et al. 2003). Therefore, the relatively low trophic position observed in adult white sharks, relative to juveniles and marine mammals, during this period may reflect the low abundance of higher trophic level prey (Sora Kim, personal communication).

Conclusions

The uncharacteristic trend in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ in white shark vertebrae is most likely attributable to the

influence of a deep-water depleted carbon source to the vertebrae. Conclusive age validation of vertebral age estimates of white shark was confounded by what may have been some combination of the dietary source of carbon to the vertebrae, large-scale movement patterns, and steep $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ gradients with depth in the eastern North Pacific Ocean. Stable isotopes provided us with time-integrated trophic information, reflecting the upper trophic level status of the white shark. No evidence of an ontogenetic shift in diet was detected, although increased movement into offshore, deeper waters with age was supported by a decrease in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values.

Future application of this technique is best suited to sharks with well-characterized diet and movement patterns, inhabiting surface waters in an area for which there is knowledge of regional $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ gradients. Sampling strategies should include both known age individuals to construct a reference chronology and older individuals with samples taken from growth bands over the lifetime of the individual. This approach enables indirect confirmation of the stability of the ^{14}C signal within vertebrae. To gain a better understanding of the chemical composition of shark vertebrae, the pathway of elemental uptake, and the metabolic stability of tissue more studies need to be done. Captive rearing studies, feeding small shark species prey with known ^{14}C content and measuring the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values in blood, tissue, and vertebrae over time would improve our understanding of carbon pathways and turnover rates. This could be used to provide direct evidence for the assumption of metabolic stability of carbon in shark vertebrae, one of the main assumptions in the application of this technique in elasmobranchs.

Acknowledgments We thank the Los Angeles County Museum, California Academy of Sciences, Sea World San Diego, and Leonard Compagno (Shark Research Center, Iziko-Museums of Cape Town South African Museum, Cape Town, South Africa) for providing vertebral samples. We acknowledge Steve Campana, John Kalish, Sora Kim, Rob Leaf, and Rob Burton for their insights regarding these results and for improving the manuscript. We thank Lisa Natanson for her assistance in white shark vertebrae ageing. This paper was supported in part by the Pacific Shark Research Center and the National Sea Grant College Program of the U.S. Department of

Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration under NOAA Grant #NA06RG0142, project number R/F-190, through the California Sea Grant College Program, and in part by the California State Resources Agency. The views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of any of those organizations. This work was performed, in part, under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

References

- Andrews AH, Burton EJ, Kerr LA, Cailliet GM, Coale KH, Lundstrom CC, Brown TA (2005) Bomb radiocarbon and lead-radium disequilibria in otoliths of bocaccio rockfish (*Sebastes paucispinis*): a determination of age and longevity for a difficult-to-age fish. *Mar Freshwater Res* 56:517–528
- Ardizzone D, Cailliet GM, Natanson LJ, Andrews AH, Kerr LA, Brown TA (2006) Application of Bomb Radiocarbon Chronologies to Shortfin Mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) Age Validation. *Environ Biol Fishes* (this volume)
- Bonfil R, Meyer M, Scholl MC, Johnson R, O'Brien S, Oosthuizen H, Swanson S, Kotze D, Paterson M (2005) Transoceanic migration, spatial dynamics, and population linkages of white sharks. *Science* 310:100–103
- Boustany AM, Davis SF, Pyle P, Anderson SD, Le Boef BJ, Block BA (2002) Satellite tagging: expanded niche for white sharks. *Nature* 415:35–36
- Broecker WS, Peng T-H (1982) The anthropogenic invasion: the movement of water through the oceanic thermocline. In: Broecker WS, Peng T-H (eds), *Tracers in the sea*. Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, New York, pp 383–444
- Brown TA, Nelson DE, Vogel JS, Southon JR (1988) Improved collagen extraction by modified Longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30(2):171–177
- Burton RK, Koch PL (1999) Isotopic tracking of foraging and long distance migration in northeastern Pacific pinnipeds. *Oecologia* 119:578–585
- Burton RK, Snodgrass JJ, Gifford-Gonzalez D, Guilderson T, Brown T, Koch PL (2001) Holocene changes in the ecology of northern fur seals: insights from stable isotopes and archaeofauna. *Oecologia* 128:107–115
- Cailliet GM (1990) Elasmobranch age determination and verification: an updated review. In: Pratt HL Jr, Gruber SH and Taniuchi T (eds) *Elasmobranchs as living resources: advances in the biology, ecology, systematics and the status of the fisheries*, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 90, pp 157–165
- Cailliet GM, Goldman KJ (2004) Age determination and validation in chondrichthyan fishes. In: Carrier J, Musick JA, Heithaus MR (eds), *Biology of sharks and their relatives*. CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, Florida, pp 399–447
- Cailliet GM, Martin LK, Kusher D, Wolf P, Welden BA (1983) Techniques for enhancing vertebral bands in age estimation of California elasmobranchs. In: Prince ED, Pulos LM (eds) *Proceedings international workshop on age determination of oceanic pelagic fishes, Tunas, Billfishes, Sharks*, NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 8, pp 157–165
- Cailliet GM, Natanson LJ, Welden BA, Ebert DA (1985) Preliminary studies on the age and growth of the white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, using vertebral bands. *Memoirs Southern Calif Acad Sci* 9:49–60
- Campana SE (1999) Chemistry and composition of fish otoliths: pathways, mechanisms and applications. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 188:263–297
- Campana SE (2001) Accuracy, precision and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *J Fish Biol* 59:197–242
- Campana SE, Natanson LJ, Myklevoll S (2002) Bomb dating and age determination of large pelagic sharks. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 59:450–455
- Chang WYB (1982) A statistical method for evaluating reproducibility of age determination. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 39:1208–1210
- Compagno LJV (2001) *Sharks of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date, vol 2. Bullhead, mackerel and carpet sharks (Heterodontiformes, Lamniformes and Orectolobiformes)*. FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes. No. 1, vol. 2. Rome, FAO, 269 pp
- Cortes E (1999) Standardized diet compositions and trophic levels of sharks. *ICES J Mar Sci* 56:707–717
- DeNiro MJ, Epstein S (1981) Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes in animals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 45:341–351
- Dewar H, Domeier M, Nasby-Lucas N (2004) Insights into young of the year white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, behavior in the southern California Bight. *Environ Biol Fish* 70(2):133–143
- Druffel ERM, Williams PM (1990) Identification of a deep marine source of particulate organic carbon using bomb ¹⁴C. *Nature* 347:172–174
- Ebert TA, Southon JR (2003) Red sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*) can live over 100 years: confirmation with A-bomb ¹⁴carbon. *Fish Bull* 101:915–922
- Estrada JA, Rice AN, Lutcavage ME, Skomal GB (2003) Predicting trophic position in sharks of the north-west Atlantic Ocean using stable isotope analysis. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK* 83:1347–1350
- Estrada JA, Rice AN, Natanson LJ, Skomal GB (2006) Use of isotopic analysis of vertebrae in reconstructing ontogenetic feeding ecology in white sharks. *Ecology* 87(4):829–834
- Farrell J, Campana SE (1996) Regulation of calcium and strontium deposition on the otoliths of juvenile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 115A:103–109
- Fisk AT, Tittlemier SA, Pranschke JL, Norstrom RJ (2002) Using anthropogenic contaminants and stable isotopes to assess the feeding ecology of greenland sharks. *Ecology* 83:2162–2172

- France RL (1995) Carbon-13 enrichment in benthic compared to planktonic algae: foodweb implications. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 124:307–312
- Frantz BR, Foster MS, Riosmena-Rodriguez R (2005) *Clathromorphum nereostratum* (Corallinales, Rhodophyta): the oldest alga? *J Phycol* 41(4):770–773
- Frantz BR, Kashgarian M, Coale KH, Foster MH (2000) Growth rate and potential climate record from a rhodolith using ^{14}C accelerator mass spectrometry. *Limnol Oceanogr* 45(8):1773–1777
- Guilderson TP, Schrag DP, Kashgarian M, Southon J (1998) Radiocarbon variability in the western equatorial Pacific inferred from a high-resolution coral record from Nauru Island. *J Geophys Res* 103(C11):24641–24650
- Jarman WM, Hobson KA, Sydeman WJ, Bacon CE, McLaren EB (1996) Influence of trophic position and feeding location on contaminant levels in the Gulf of the Farallones food web revealed by stable isotope analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 30(2):654–660
- Kalish JM (1991) ^{13}C and ^{18}O isotopic disequilibria in fish otoliths: metabolic and kinetic effects. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 75:191–203
- Kalish JM (1995) Radiocarbon and fish biology. In: Secor DH, Dean JM, Campana SE (eds) Recent developments in fish otolith research. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, South Carolina, pp 637–653
- Kalish JM, DeMartini E (2001) Determination of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) age based on analysis of radiocarbon in vertebral carbonate and collagen. In: Kalish JM (ed), Use of the bomb radiocarbon chronometer to validate fish age. Final Report FRDC Project 93/109. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia, pp 340–351
- Kalish JM, Johnston J (2001) Determination of school shark age based on analysis of radiocarbon in vertebral collagen. In: Kalish JM (ed), Use of the bomb radiocarbon chronometer to validate fish age. Final Report. FDRP Project 93/109. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia, pp 116–122
- Kerr LA, Andrews AH, Frantz BR, Coale KH, Brown TA, Cailliet GM (2004) Radiocarbon in otoliths of yelloweye rockfish (*Sebastes ruberrimus*): a reference time series for the waters of southeast Alaska. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 61:443–451
- Kerr LA, Andrews AH, Frantz BR, Coale KH, Brown TA, Munk K, Cailliet GM (2005) Age validation of quillback rockfish (*Sebastes maliger*) using bomb radiocarbon. *Fish Bull* 103(1):97–107
- Klimley AP (1985) The areal distribution and autoecology of the white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, off the west coast of North America. *Southern Calif Acad Sci* 9:15–40
- Koch PL, Fogel ML, Tuross N (1994) Tracing the diet of fossil animals using stable isotopes. In: Lajtha K, Michener RH (eds), Stable isotopes in ecology and environmental science. Blackwell Scientific Publications, New York, New York, USA, pp 63–92
- Le Boeuf BJ, Riedman ML, Keyes RS (1982) White shark predation on pinnipeds in California coastal waters. *Fish Bull* 80:891–895
- Michener RH, Schell DM (1994) Stable isotope ratios as tracers in marine aquatic food webs. In: Michener RH, Schell DM (eds), Stable isotopes in ecology and environmental science. Blackwell Scientific Publications, New York, New York, USA, pp 138–157
- Mollet HF, Cliff G, Pratt HL Jr, Stevens JD (2000) Reproductive biology of the female shortfin mako *Isurus oxyrinchus* Rafinesque 1810, with comments on the embryonic development of lamnoids. *Fish Bull* 98(2):299–318
- Pearcy, Stuvier (1983) Vertical transport of carbon-14 into deep-sea food webs. *Deep-Sea Res* 30(4A):427–440
- Perry RI, Thompson PA, Mackas DL, Harrison PJ, Yelland DR (1999) Stable carbon isotopes as pelagic food web tracers in adjacent shelf and slope regions off British Columbia, Canada. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 56:2477–2486
- Piner K, Wischniowski SG (2004) Pacific halibut chronology of bomb radiocarbon in otoliths from 1944 to 1981 and a validation of ageing methods. *J Fish Biol* 64:1060–1071
- Post DM (2002) Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology* 83:703–718
- Ridewood WG (1921) On the calcification of the vertebral central in sharks and rays. *Philadelphia Trans Roy Soc Lond Ser B* 210:311–407
- Schoeninger GJ, Deniro GJ (1984) Nitrogen and carbon isotopic composition of bone collagen from marine and terrestrial animals. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 48:625–639
- Springer AM, Estes JA, van Vliet GB, Williams TM, Doak DF, Danner EM, Forney KA, Pfister B (2003) Sequential megafaunal collapse in the North Pacific Ocean: an ongoing legacy of industrial whaling? *Proc Nat Acad Sci* 100(21):12223–12228
- Stuvier M, Polach HA (1977) Discussion reporting of ^{14}C data. *Radiocarbon* 19(3):355–363
- Toperoff AK (1997) Diet of harbor porpoise (*P. phocoena*) using stomach contents and stable isotope analyses. A thesis presented to the faculty of California State University, San Jose through Moss Landing Marine laboratories, 103 p
- Tricas TC, McCosker JE (1984) Predatory behavior of the white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*), with notes on its biology. *Proc Calif Acad Sci* 43:221–238
- Urist MR (1961) Calcium and phosphorus in the blood and skeleton of the elasmobranchii. *Endocrinology* 69:778–801
- Vander Zanden MJ, Rasmussen JB (1999) Primary consumer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and the trophic position of aquatic consumers. *Ecology* 80(4):1395–1404
- Vander Zanden MJ, Rasmussen JB (2001) Variation in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ trophic fractionation: implications

- for aquatic food web studies. *Limnol Oceanogr* 46(8):2061–2066
- Vogel JS, Nelson DE, Southon JR (1987) ^{14}C background levels in an accelerator mass spectrometry system. *Radiocarbon* 29(3):323–333
- Vogel JS, Southon JR, Nelson DE, Brown TA (1984) Performance of catalytically condensed carbon for use in accelerator mass spectrometry. *Nuclear Instrument Methods Phys Res B* 5:289–293
- Welden BA, Cailliet GM, Flegal AR (1987) Comparison of radiometric with vertebral band age estimates in four California elasmobranchs. In: Summerfelt RC, Hall GE (eds), *Age and growth of fish*. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp 301–315
- Wintner SP, Cliff G (1999) Age and growth determination of the white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*, from the east coast of South Africa. *Fish Bull* 97:153–169